This is the html version of the file http://www.blackhat.com/presentations/bh-usa-00/MJR/MJR-blackhat-2000-keynote.ppt. Google automatically generates html versions of documents as we crawl the web. Tip: To quickly find your search term on this page, press Ctrl+F or 器-F (Mac) and use the find bar.

<mjr@nfr.net> Chief Technology Officer, Network Flight Recorder, Inc. http://www.nfr.net What is this talk about?

. A call to change accountability

Marcus J. Ranum

. Some predictions

Script Kiddiez Suck

Script Kiddiez suck . There are way too many script kiddiez ...Why? • Message "It's OK" ("Extreme Hacking" classes at conferences, etc.) Loads of toolz in distribution No consistent effort to stamp them out No perceived downstream cost for being a lame script kiddie

The Targeting Problem

• It's imperative to reduce the script kiddie population in order to be able

. Why must we reduce the number of script

They represent a great deal of noise that must be filtered out

to meaningfully quantify the size and talent of the *real* threat

Changes in Perception

. I believe that the public at large is getting sick of

· With increasing broadband-to-home access (and related security

problems) security is beginning to have a *personal* impact on Joe

Joe Average tends to lash out in anger when he's hurt: hackers

Hacking == Amateur Terrorism

. Many parallels exist (except that the kiddiez are

. Counter-terrorism: take the battle to the enemy

The Gray Area

. Right now, we tolerate a very large "gray area"

There are too many people who fight on both sides of the battle

The grey area must evaporate as part of switching to a counter-

terrorist model: separate the terrorists from their support base as

The Gray Area (cont)

. With apologies to some of my friends in the gray

· We need to reduce that comfortable gray area into a very narrow line

10

12

14

15

16

17

24

We need to stop hiring ex-hackers as security consultants (selling)

Changes in Full Disclosure

. The way full disclosure is being practiced today

It's not (visibly, anyhow) making a positive impact on software quality

It's not (visibly, anyhow) making a positive impact on bug-fix turn-

Evolution of Vulnerability Information

One Question

. Is it possible that script kiddiez are a necessary

I say no: software should self-update or include auto-patching

An Observation

Vendor software is still just as buggy as it was 5 years ago (if not

Myths of Full Disclosure

The hackers already know these techniques so it's best for everyone

Many of the vulnerabilities being disclosed are researched and

Myths of Full Disclosure

· There are better avenues for publicizing flaws that are just as

Myths of Full Disclosure

It's necessary to disseminate flaw information in order to make better

• 99% of the bugs found fall into well-known flaw taxonomies (e.g.:

• It's not necessary to teach and test the specifics: teach and test the

buffer overruns, config file protection, starting sub-processes)

Myths of Full Disclosure

Actually, it's a tool for self-promotion, financial gain, and ego-

Realities of Full-Disclosure

. What I see is rock-throwing being passed off as

It's from people who'd rather hack but want to claim white hat status

It's from people who don't know how to build useful things; they'd

A Challenge

Why not do something productive and worthwhile to benefit the

Changes in Accountability

. Dramatically increase the level of accountability

People releasing tools or exploits irresponsibly must/will be held

accountable for the consequences of their actions

for security-related issues: we must accomplish

Vendors that produce products with security bugs must/will be held to

Predictions

. I'm not sure I want to be right about these, but I

Prediction #1

. The good guys will take the battle to the enemy

Prediction #2

. Authors and distributors of attack tools will be on

They will have the unmitigated gall to expect people to feel sorry for

Prediction #3

(Follows from prediction #2)

. Attempts to deal with hacking via conventional

law enforcement will be abandoned in favor of

Most knowledgeable people will be more scared of amazon.com's

Prediction #4

. Nothing melts away a gray area like a pile of

Within the next 5 years the gray area will be all but eliminated

Hackers in the room: start thinking about how to build security-positive

Conclusion

The key factor will be social attitudes and our ability to change them

On one side: big business consistently suffering huge financial costs

On the other side: big egos, no financial backing, no organization,

A Point To Remember

We're giving too much credit to the "full disclosure" crowd and the

. The Huns didn't know how to build a Rome -

Let's start promoting the Rome-builders, not the Huns

. I think we're at the end of the beginning of the

tools to give away instead of security-erosive tools - Your legal counsel

the receiving end of high dollar civil liability

Authors of attack tools will regret signing their workmanship

It's market "assassination" (Microsoft is a prime target)

. For those in this room who produce toolz:

This is clearly demonstrated by the fact that vendors are no more

responsive about patches and the population of script kiddiez is

massaging of practitioners of full disclosure

• Sure, they can! (e.x.: Windows authentication no longer vulnerable

harmful to the vendor and just as effective (e.x.: Tell NYT/WSJ/CNN

Counter-intelligence on the white hat side is not awful; we find them

. If full-disclosure *works*, why isn't the state of

They make it impossible for vendors to hide their mistakes?

They force end users to update their software

• I say no: There are better ways to publicize

More vulnerability information is out there

Many users are not installing patches

More script kiddiez all the time

to know them so they get addressed

out pretty fast anyhow

to lOphtcrack)

systems in the future

It's for your own good

skyrocketing

"beneficial" when in reality

rather publicize their ability to destroy

• Why don't you build a better firewall?

• Why don't you secure a browser?

• Why don't you make a secure O/S?

Why don't you develop an IDS?

standards for providing fixes

• E-mail me in 5 years if I'm wrong;)

community?

both of:

suspect I am

lawsuits

Within the next 5 years

Within the next 3 years

them when they do

civil litigation

Within 5 years

would approve!

"hobbyists"

toolz-writers

Internet Security Era

Things will either get better or worse

they only knew how to *sack* it

Law enforcement has proven ineffective

lawsuits and resulting case law

lawyers than the FBI, anyhow

problems as a class

The hackers do, the script kiddiez did not

discovered for the *purpose* of being disclosed

The vendors can't hide their bugs once they are disclosed

about the flaw and how the vendor is covering it up)

More break-ins all the time

reformed wolves as shepherds is an insult to the sheep)

between "white hats" and "black hats"

kiddiez?

hacking*

Average

. To win:

area:

beware

*Call it whatever you like; you know what I mean

where they live

thoroughly as possible

is self-defeating

around times

It's not helping

Flaw (not yet information)

Disclosed Vulnerability

Detection/Assesment

Users *Install* Patch

mechanisms

security improving?

evil?

It's not:

.#2:

.#3:

.#4:

worse)

Vulnerability

Script Kiddiez

Exploit

Toolz

Logic

Patch

It's creating hordes of script kiddiez

mostly non-ideological)

Good guys must defend everything

Bad guys must find a single flaw

Scorched earth, zero tolerance

. A call to change how we perceive security . A call to change how we disclose problems